

Complex anti-self-dual instantons and Cayley submanifolds

Simon Brendle

August 13, 2003

1 Introduction

Let M be a manifold of dimension 8, and let Ω be a 4-form which defines an almost $Spin(7)$ -structure on M . An Ω -anti-self-dual instanton is a connection A on a vector bundle over M such that the curvature F_A satisfies

$$F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A) = 0. \quad (1)$$

If M is an almost Calabi-Yau manifold, then the 4-form Ω can be written as

$$\Omega = 4 \operatorname{Re}(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2,$$

where $\omega \in \Omega^{1,1}(M)$ denotes the symplectic form and $\theta \in \Omega^{0,4}(M)$ is the complex volume form. The complex volume form induces an anti-linear involution $*_{\theta} : \Omega^{0,2}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,2}(M)$. Then the anti-self-duality equation (1) is equivalent to

$$F_A^{1,1} \cdot \omega = 0 \quad (2)$$

and

$$(1 + *_{\theta}) F_A^{0,2} = 0. \quad (3)$$

The space of 2-forms splits as a direct sum

$$\Lambda^2 TM = \Lambda_+^2 TM \oplus \Lambda_-^2 TM, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\Lambda_+^2 TM = \{\varphi \in \Lambda^2 M : 3\varphi - *(\Omega \wedge \varphi) = 0\} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\Lambda_-^2 TM = \{\varphi \in \Lambda^2 M : \varphi + *(\Omega \wedge \varphi) = 0\}. \quad (6)$$

Note that $\Lambda_+^2 M$ is a vector space of dimension 7 and $\Lambda_-^2(M)$ is a vector space of dimension 21. Let P_+ and P_- be the projections associated to the splitting (4). This implies

$$P_+\varphi = \frac{1}{4}(\varphi + *(\Omega \wedge \varphi))$$

and

$$P_-\varphi = \frac{1}{4}(3\varphi - *(\Omega \wedge \varphi)).$$

We denote by $\Omega_+^2(M)$ the space of sections of the vector bundle $\Lambda_+^2 TM$. Similarly, $\Omega_-^2(M)$ is the space of sections of the vector bundle $\Lambda_-^2 TM$.

If Ω is closed, then the anti-self-duality equation (1) implies the Yang-Mills equation $D_A^* F_A = 0$.

The equations (1),(2) generalize the anti-self-dual equations in dimension 4 (see e.g. [7, 23]), and have been studied by various authors, including S. K. Donaldson and R. P. Thomas [8, 26], L. Baulieu, H. Kanno, and I. M. Singer [3], J. Chen [6], and G. Tian [27]. These submanifolds are also of considerable interest in mathematical physics.

G. Tian constructed a compactification of the moduli space of Ω -anti-self-dual instantons over M . He proved that every sequence A_k of Ω -anti-self-dual instantons over M has a subsequence, still denoted by A_k , such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_M c_2(A_k) \wedge \psi = \int_M c_2(A_\infty) \wedge \psi + \int_S \Theta \psi,$$

where c_2 denotes the 4-form representing the second Chern class of the bundle, and ψ is a smooth 4-form on M . Furthermore, A_∞ is a Ω -anti-self-dual instanton which is smooth outside a set of vanishing $\mathcal{H}^4$ -measure. Furthermore, S is a Cayley submanifold, i.e. a submanifold calibrated by the 4-form Ω . Cayley submanifolds were studied by R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson [9]. There is a rich class of examples. For instance, this class contains as limiting cases the holomorphic subvarieties and the special Lagrangian submanifolds of M . Special Lagrangian submanifolds have been studied extensively, see e.g. [10]. Cayley submanifolds play a role in high-energy physics, see for example [4].

Our aim in this paper is to construct smooth complex anti-self-dual instantons such that the energy density $|F_A|^2$ is concentrated near a given Cayley submanifold S .

In the first step, we construct a suitable family of approximate solutions. To this end, we assume that the normal bundle NS can be endowed with a complex structure J and a complex volume form ω . Each approximate solution is described by a set (v, λ, J, ω) , where v is a section of the normal bundle of S , λ is a positive function on S , and (J, ω) is a $SU(2)$ -structure on NS . The covariant derivative of the pair (J, ω) can be described by a 1-form θ with values in the Lie algebra $\Lambda_+^2 NS$.

The covariant derivative of the 4-form Ω can be written in the form

$$\nabla_X \Omega = \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(X) \wedge i_{e_k} \Omega,$$

where α is a 1-form with values in $\Lambda_+^2 TM$.

We consider the elliptic complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^0(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1(M) \xrightarrow{P_+ d} \Omega_+^2(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The first and the second cohomology groups associated to this elliptic complex are $H^0(M)$ and $H^1(M)$. The third cohomology group is denoted by $H_+^2(M)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $H_+^2(M) = 0$. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a mapping Ξ_ε which assigns to each set of glueing data $(v, \lambda, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\gamma}(S)$ a section of the vector bundle $V \oplus W$ of class $\mathcal{C}^\gamma(S)$ such that the following holds.*

(i) *If (v, λ, J, ω) is a set of glueing data such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\gamma}(S)} &\leq K, \\ \|\lambda\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\gamma}(S)} &\leq K, \quad \inf \lambda \geq 1, \\ \|(J, \omega)\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\gamma}(S)} &\leq K, \end{aligned}$$

then we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \Xi_\varepsilon(v, \lambda, J, \omega) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 4 \left(\text{proj}_V \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^4 (\nabla_i v_j + \alpha_{ik,l} v_l) e_i \otimes e_k^\perp \right), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \text{proj}_W \left(\sum_{i,k,l=1}^4 (\lambda^{-1} \nabla_i \lambda \delta_{kl} + \theta_{i,kl} + \alpha_{ik,l}) e_i \otimes e_k^\perp \otimes e_l^\perp \right) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma(S)} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{32}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $\Xi_\varepsilon(v, \lambda, J, \omega) = 0$, then the approximate solution A corresponding to (v, λ, J, ω) can be deformed to a nearby connection $\tilde{A}$ satisfying $F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}}) = 0$.

In Section 2, we study the mapping properties of a model operator on $\mathbb{R}^8$.

In Section 3, we construct a family of approximate solutions of the Yang-Mills equations. More precisely, given any set of glueing data (v, λ, J, ω) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\gamma}(S)} &\leq K, \\ \|\lambda\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\gamma}(S)} &\leq K, \quad \inf \lambda \geq 1, \\ \|(J, \omega)\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\gamma}(S)} &\leq K, \end{aligned}$$

we construct a connection A such that

$$\|F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

Here, the weighted Hölder space $\mathcal{C}_\nu^\gamma(M)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}_\nu^\gamma(M)} &= \sup (\varepsilon + \text{dist}(p, S))^\nu |u(p)| \\ &+ \sup_{\substack{4\text{dist}(p_1, p_2) \leq \\ \varepsilon + \text{dist}(p_1, S) + \text{dist}(p_2, S)}} (\varepsilon + \text{dist}(p_1, S) + \text{dist}(p_2, S))^{\nu+\gamma} \frac{|u(p_1) - u(p_2)|}{\text{dist}(p_1, p_2)^\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

In Section 4, we derive estimates for the linearized operator which are independent of ε .

In Section 5, we apply the contraction mapping principle to deform the approximate solution A to a nearby connection $\tilde{A} = A + a$ such that

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbb{P})(F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}})) = 0,$$

where $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbb{P})$ is the fibrewise projection from $\mathcal{C}_\nu^\gamma(M)$ to the subspace $\mathcal{G}_\nu^\gamma(M)$. In particular, if the balancing condition

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}})) = 0$$

is satisfied, then $\tilde{A}$ is an Ω -anti-self-dual instanton.

In Section 6, we calculate the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}})) = 0.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The author is grateful to Professor Gerhard Huisken and Professor Gang Tian for discussions.

2 The model problem on $\mathbb{R}^8$

The $Spin(7)$ -structure on $\mathbb{R}^8$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega = & -e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_1^\perp \wedge e_2^\perp - e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp - e_3 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1^\perp \wedge e_2^\perp \\ & - e_3 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp + e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_2^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp - e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1^\perp \wedge e_3^\perp \\ & - e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp + e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1^\perp \wedge e_3^\perp - e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2^\perp \wedge e_3^\perp \\ & - e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp - e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_2^\perp \wedge e_3^\perp - e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp \\ & + e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 + e_1^\perp \wedge e_2^\perp \wedge e_3^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the 2-forms

$$\begin{aligned}& e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4 - e_1^\perp \wedge e_2^\perp - e_3^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp, \\ & e_1 \wedge e_3 - e_2 \wedge e_4 - e_1^\perp \wedge e_3^\perp + e_2^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp, \\ & e_1 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3 - e_1^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp - e_2^\perp \wedge e_3^\perp, \\ & e_1 \wedge e_1^\perp + e_2 \wedge e_2^\perp + e_3 \wedge e_3^\perp + e_4 \wedge e_4^\perp, \\ & e_1 \wedge e_2^\perp - e_2 \wedge e_1^\perp - e_3 \wedge e_4^\perp + e_4 \wedge e_3^\perp, \\ & e_1 \wedge e_3^\perp + e_2 \wedge e_4^\perp - e_3 \wedge e_1^\perp - e_4 \wedge e_2^\perp, \\ & e_1 \wedge e_4^\perp - e_2 \wedge e_3^\perp + e_3 \wedge e_2^\perp - e_4 \wedge e_1^\perp\end{aligned}$$

form a basis for $\Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{R}^8$.

Let now E be a Cayley subspace of $\mathbb{R}^8$, i.e. a subspace calibrated by Ω . The group $Spin(7)$ acts transitively on the set of Cayley subspaces and leaves the 4-form Ω invariant (cf. [9]). Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that E is spanned by $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ and $E^\perp$ is spanned by $\{e_i^\perp : 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$.

We define two vector spaces $V \subset E \otimes E^\perp$ and $W \subset E \otimes E^\perp \otimes E^\perp$ over the submanifold S . The following elements form a basis for V :

$$\begin{aligned}& e_1 \otimes e_1^\perp + e_2 \otimes e_2^\perp + e_3 \otimes e_3^\perp + e_4 \otimes e_4^\perp, \\ & e_1 \otimes e_2^\perp - e_2 \otimes e_1^\perp - e_3 \otimes e_4^\perp + e_4 \otimes e_3^\perp, \\ & e_1 \otimes e_3^\perp + e_2 \otimes e_4^\perp - e_3 \otimes e_1^\perp - e_4 \otimes e_2^\perp, \\ & e_1 \otimes e_4^\perp - e_2 \otimes e_3^\perp + e_3 \otimes e_2^\perp - e_4 \otimes e_1^\perp.\end{aligned}$$

The following elements form a basis for W :

$$\begin{aligned}& e_1 \otimes (e_1^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & + e_2 \otimes (e_2^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_3^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & + e_3 \otimes (e_3^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_4^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & + e_4 \otimes (e_4^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_2^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_1 \otimes (e_2^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_3^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\
& - e_2 \otimes (e_1^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\
& - e_3 \otimes (e_4^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_2^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\
& + e_4 \otimes (e_3^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_4^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_1 \otimes (e_3^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_4^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\
& + e_2 \otimes (e_4^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_2^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\
& - e_3 \otimes (e_1^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\
& - e_4 \otimes (e_2^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_3^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_1 \otimes (e_4^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_2^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\
& - e_2 \otimes (e_3^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_4^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\
& + e_3 \otimes (e_2^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_3^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\
& - e_4 \otimes (e_1^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp).
\end{aligned}$$

Let B be a connection which is invariant under translations along E and agrees with the basic instanton along $E^\perp$. More precisely, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
B(e_1^\perp) &= \frac{-y_2 \mathbf{i} - y_3 \mathbf{j} - y_4 \mathbf{k}}{\varepsilon^2 + |y|^2} \\
B(e_2^\perp) &= \frac{y_1 \mathbf{i} - y_4 \mathbf{j} + y_3 \mathbf{k}}{\varepsilon^2 + |y|^2} \\
B(e_3^\perp) &= \frac{y_4 \mathbf{i} + y_1 \mathbf{j} - y_2 \mathbf{k}}{\varepsilon^2 + |y|^2} \\
B(e_4^\perp) &= \frac{-y_3 \mathbf{i} + y_2 \mathbf{j} + y_1 \mathbf{k}}{\varepsilon^2 + |y|^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{i}(e_1^\perp) &= -e_2^\perp, & \mathbf{i}(e_2^\perp) &= e_1^\perp, & \mathbf{i}(e_3^\perp) &= e_4^\perp, & \mathbf{i}(e_4^\perp) &= -e_3^\perp, \\
\mathbf{j}(e_1^\perp) &= -e_3^\perp, & \mathbf{j}(e_2^\perp) &= -e_4^\perp, & \mathbf{j}(e_3^\perp) &= e_1^\perp, & \mathbf{j}(e_4^\perp) &= e_2^\perp, \\
\mathbf{k}(e_1^\perp) &= -e_4^\perp, & \mathbf{k}(e_2^\perp) &= e_3^\perp, & \mathbf{k}(e_3^\perp) &= -e_2^\perp, & \mathbf{k}(e_4^\perp) &= e_1^\perp.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $B(e_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

The linearized operator $\mathbb{L}_B : \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^8) \rightarrow \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{L}_B a = 2 P_+ D_B a.$$

The adjoint operator $\mathbb{L}_B^* : \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^8)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{L}_B^* \varphi = 2 D_B^* \varphi.$$

We define the weighted Hölder space $\mathcal{C}_\nu^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}_\nu^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)} &= \sup (\varepsilon + |y|)^\nu |u(x, y)| \\ &+ \sup_{\substack{4(|x_1-x_2|+|y_1-y_2|) \leq \\ \varepsilon+|y_1|+|y_2|}} (\varepsilon + |y_1| + |y_2|)^{\nu+\gamma} \frac{|u(x_1, y_1) - u(x_2, y_2)|}{(|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|)^\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

More generally, we define

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}_\nu^{k,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)} = \sum_{l=0}^k \|\nabla^l u\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\nu+l}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)}.$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{k,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)$ be the set of all $\varphi \in \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8)$ such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_\nu^{k,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)$, and

$$\int_{x+E^\perp} \sum_{i,j=1}^4 (\varepsilon s_{ik} + t_{ikl} y_l) \langle \varphi(e_i, e_j^\perp), F_B(e_k^\perp, e_j^\perp) \rangle = 0$$

for all $x \in E$, $s \in V$, and $t \in W$.

We first derive a Weitzenböck formula for the operator $\mathbb{L}_B \mathbb{L}_B^* : \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8) \rightarrow \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8)$. We shall need two algebraic facts which can be verified by direct calculation. For simplicity, let $e_5 = e_1^\perp$, $e_6 = e_2^\perp$, $e_7 = e_3^\perp$, $e_8 = e_4^\perp$.

Lemma 2.1. *For every $\varphi \in \Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{R}^8$, we have*

$$2 P_+(e_k \wedge (i_{e_l} \varphi) + e_l \wedge (i_{e_k} \varphi)) = \delta_{kl} \varphi.$$

Lemma 2.2. *For every $\varphi \in \Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{R}^8$, we have*

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^8 e_k \wedge [F_B(e_k, e_l), i_{e_l} \varphi] \in \Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{R}^8.$$

Proposition 2.3. *The operator $\mathbb{L}_B \mathbb{L}_B^*$ satisfies the Weitzenböck formula*

$$\mathbb{L}_B \mathbb{L}_B^* \varphi = \nabla_B^* \nabla_B \varphi - 2 \sum_{k,l=1}^4 e_k^\perp \wedge [F_B(e_k^\perp, e_l^\perp), i_{e_l^\perp} \varphi]$$

for every $\varphi \in \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8)$.

Proof. For every $\varphi \in \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
4 D_B D_B^* \varphi &= -4 \sum_{k,l=1}^8 e_k \wedge (i_{e_l} D_{B,e_k} D_{B,e_l} \varphi) \\
&= -2 \sum_{k,l=1}^8 (e_k \wedge (i_{e_l} D_{B,e_k} D_{B,e_l} \varphi) + e_l \wedge (i_{e_k} D_{B,e_k} D_{B,e_l} \varphi)) \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{k,l=1}^8 e_k \wedge (i_{e_l} (D_{B,e_k} D_{B,e_l} \varphi - D_{B,e_l} D_{B,e_k} \varphi)) \\
&= -2 \sum_{k,l=1}^8 (e_k \wedge (i_{e_l} D_{B,e_k} D_{B,e_l} \varphi) + e_l \wedge (i_{e_k} D_{B,e_k} D_{B,e_l} \varphi)) \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{k,l=1}^8 e_k \wedge [F_B(e_k, e_l), i_{e_l} \varphi].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi \in \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8)$ and Ω is parallel, it follows that $D_{B,e_k} D_{B,e_l} \varphi \in \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8)$. Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$2 P_+ (e_k \wedge (i_{e_l} D_{B,e_k} D_{B,e_l} \varphi) + e_l \wedge (i_{e_k} D_{B,e_k} D_{B,e_l} \varphi)) = \delta_{kl} D_{B,e_k} D_{B,e_l} \varphi.$$

From this it follows that

$$4 P_+ D_B D_B^* \varphi = \nabla_B^* \nabla_B \varphi - 2 \sum_{k,l=1}^8 P_+ (e_k \wedge [F_B(e_k, e_l), i_{e_l} \varphi]).$$

Moreover, Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^8 e_k \wedge [F_B(e_k, e_l), i_{e_l} \varphi] \in \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8).$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$4 P_+ D_B D_B^* \varphi = \nabla_B^* \nabla_B \varphi - 2 \sum_{k,l=1}^8 e_k \wedge [F_B(e_k, e_l), i_{e_l} \varphi].$$

This proves the assertion.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that $\psi \in \mathcal{G}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)$ has compact support. Then there exists some $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{1+\nu}^{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)$ such that*

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{1+\nu}^{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)}$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}_B \mathbb{L}_B^* \varphi = \psi.$$

Proof. Since $\psi \in \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8)$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = & (e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4 - e_1^\perp \wedge e_2^\perp - e_3^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp) \otimes g_2 \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_3 - e_2 \wedge e_4 - e_1^\perp \wedge e_3^\perp + e_2^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp) \otimes g_3 \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3 - e_1^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp - e_2^\perp \wedge e_3^\perp) \otimes g_4 \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_1^\perp + e_2 \wedge e_2^\perp + e_3 \wedge e_3^\perp + e_4 \wedge e_4^\perp) \otimes g_1^\perp \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_2^\perp - e_2 \wedge e_1^\perp - e_3 \wedge e_4^\perp + e_4 \wedge e_3^\perp) \otimes g_2^\perp \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_3^\perp + e_2 \wedge e_4^\perp - e_3 \wedge e_1^\perp - e_4 \wedge e_2^\perp) \otimes g_3^\perp \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_4^\perp - e_2 \wedge e_3^\perp + e_3 \wedge e_2^\perp - e_4 \wedge e_1^\perp) \otimes g_4^\perp,\end{aligned}$$

where $g_j, g_j^\perp \in \mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)$. Furthermore, since $\psi \in \mathcal{G}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)$, we deduce that

$$\int_{x+E^\perp} \sum_{j=1}^4 \langle g_j^\perp, F_B(X, e_j^\perp) \rangle = 0$$

for all $x \in E$ and all vector fields of the form

$$X = \varepsilon w_k e_k^\perp + \mu y_k e_k^\perp + r_{kl} y_l e_k^\perp.$$

Using Corollary 3.6 in [5], we can find $f_j, f_j^\perp \in \mathcal{C}_{1+\nu}^{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)$ such that

$$\nabla_B^* \nabla_B f_j = g_j$$

and

$$\nabla_B^* \nabla_B f_j^\perp - 2 \sum_{k=1}^4 [F_B(e_j^\perp, e_k^\perp), f_k^\perp] = g_j^\perp$$

and

$$\int_{x+E^\perp} \sum_{j=1}^4 \langle f_j^\perp, F_B(X, e_j^\perp) \rangle = 0$$

for all $x \in E$ and all vector fields of the form

$$X = \varepsilon w_k e_k^\perp + \mu y_k e_k^\perp + r_{kl} y_l e_k^\perp.$$

We now define

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi = & (e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4 - e_1^\perp \wedge e_2^\perp - e_3^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp) \otimes f_2 \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_3 - e_2 \wedge e_4 - e_1^\perp \wedge e_3^\perp + e_2^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp) \otimes f_3 \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3 - e_1^\perp \wedge e_4^\perp - e_2^\perp \wedge e_3^\perp) \otimes f_4 \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_1^\perp + e_2 \wedge e_2^\perp + e_3 \wedge e_3^\perp + e_4 \wedge e_4^\perp) \otimes f_1^\perp \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_2^\perp - e_2 \wedge e_1^\perp - e_3 \wedge e_4^\perp + e_4 \wedge e_3^\perp) \otimes f_2^\perp \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_3^\perp + e_2 \wedge e_4^\perp - e_3 \wedge e_1^\perp - e_4 \wedge e_2^\perp) \otimes f_3^\perp \\ & + (e_1 \wedge e_4^\perp - e_2 \wedge e_3^\perp + e_3 \wedge e_2^\perp - e_4 \wedge e_1^\perp) \otimes f_4^\perp.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{1+\nu}^{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)$, and

$$\mathbb{L}_B \mathbb{L}_B^* \varphi = \psi$$

by Proposition 2.3. This proves the assertion.

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose that $\psi \in \mathcal{G}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)$ has compact support. Then there exists a 1-form $a \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)$ such that*

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)}$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}_B a = \psi.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, there exists some $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{1+\nu}^{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{1+\nu}^{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)}$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}_B \mathbb{L}_B^* \varphi = \psi.$$

Let $a = \mathbb{L}_B^* \varphi$. Then $a \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)$ satisfies

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)}$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}_B a = \psi.$$

This proves the assertion.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $0 < \nu < 1$. Suppose that $\psi \in \mathcal{G}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)$ is supported in the set $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^8 : |x| \leq \delta, |y| \leq 2\delta^4\}$. Then there exists a 1-form $a \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)$ such that a is supported in $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^8 : |x| \leq 2\delta, |y| \leq 2\delta^2\}$,*

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)}$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{L}_B a - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^8 : |y| \leq 2\delta^4\})} \leq C \delta \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)},$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{L}_B a - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)} \leq C |\log \delta|^{-1} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)}.$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.5, there exists a 1-form $a \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)$ such that

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)}$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}_B a = \psi.$$

Let ζ be a cut-off function on E such that $\zeta(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq \delta$, $\zeta(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2\delta$, and

$$\sup \delta |\nabla \zeta| \leq C.$$

Furthermore, let η be a cut-off function on $E^\perp$ satisfying $\eta(y) = 1$ for $|y| \leq 2\delta^4$, $\eta(y) = 0$ for $|y| \geq 2\delta^2$, and

$$\sup |y| |\nabla \eta| \leq C |\log \delta|^{-1}.$$

Then we have the estimates

$$\|\eta \zeta a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^8)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{L}_B(\zeta a) - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^8 : |y| \leq 2\delta^4\})} \\ &= \|\mathbb{L}_B(\zeta a) - \zeta \mathbb{L}_B a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^8 : |y| \leq 2\delta^4\})} \\ &\leq C \delta \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)} \\ &\leq C \delta \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{L}_B(\eta \zeta a) - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)} \\ &= \|\mathbb{L}_B(\eta \zeta a) - \eta \zeta \mathbb{L}_B a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)} \\ &\leq C |\log \delta|^{-1} \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)} \\ &\leq C |\log \delta|^{-1} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^8)}. \end{aligned}$$

From this the assertion follows.

3 Construction of the approximate solutions

In this section, we outline the construction of a certain class of approximate solutions. To this end, we assume that the normal bundle NS can be endowed with a $SU(2)$ -structure (J, ω) . Here, J is a complex structure and ω

is a complex volume form on NS .

Let $\nabla' = \nabla + \theta$ be a connection on the normal bundle NS such that θ is a 1-form with values in the Lie algebra $\Lambda_+^2 NS$ and (J, ω) is parallel with respect to the connection ∇' . The 1-form θ is uniquely determined by the covariant derivative of the pair (J, ω) with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ . Since (J, ω) is parallel with respect to ∇' , the connection induced by ∇' on the bundle $\Lambda_+^2 NS$ is flat.

The connection ∇' induces a splitting of the tangent space TNS into horizontal and vertical subspaces. Let $\{e'_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ be an orthonormal basis for the horizontal subspace with respect to ∇' , and let $\{e_j^\perp : 1 \leq j \leq 4\}$ be a $SU(2)$ basis for the vertical subspace.

In the first step, we define a connection on the pull-back bundle $\pi^* NS$ of the normal bundle under the natural projection $\pi : NS \rightarrow S$. Since we may identify a neighborhood of S in M with a neighborhood of the zero section in NS , this gives a connection on a small neighborhood of S in M . In the second step, we show that this connection can be extended to the whole of M using suitable cut-off functions.

The glueing data consist of a set (v, λ, J, ω) , where v is a section of the normal bundle NS , λ is a positive function on S , and (J, ω) is a $SU(2)$ structure on the normal bundle NS . Let $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ be a basis for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(NS)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i}(e_1^\perp) &= -e_2^\perp, & \mathbf{i}(e_2^\perp) &= e_1^\perp, & \mathbf{i}(e_3^\perp) &= e_4^\perp, & \mathbf{i}(e_4^\perp) &= -e_3^\perp, \\ \mathbf{j}(e_1^\perp) &= -e_3^\perp, & \mathbf{j}(e_2^\perp) &= -e_4^\perp, & \mathbf{j}(e_3^\perp) &= e_1^\perp, & \mathbf{j}(e_4^\perp) &= e_2^\perp, \\ \mathbf{k}(e_1^\perp) &= -e_4^\perp, & \mathbf{k}(e_2^\perp) &= e_3^\perp, & \mathbf{k}(e_3^\perp) &= -e_2^\perp, & \mathbf{k}(e_4^\perp) &= e_1^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

We consider a connection of the form $D_A = \nabla' + A$. The vertical components of A are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A(e_1^\perp) &= \frac{-(y - \varepsilon v)_2 \mathbf{i} - (y - \varepsilon v)_3 \mathbf{j} - (y - \varepsilon v)_4 \mathbf{k}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda^2 + |y - \varepsilon v|^2} \\ A(e_2^\perp) &= \frac{(y - \varepsilon v)_1 \mathbf{i} - (y - \varepsilon v)_4 \mathbf{j} + (y - \varepsilon v)_3 \mathbf{k}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda^2 + |y - \varepsilon v|^2} \\ A(e_3^\perp) &= \frac{(y - \varepsilon v)_4 \mathbf{i} + (y - \varepsilon v)_1 \mathbf{j} - (y - \varepsilon v)_2 \mathbf{k}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda^2 + |y - \varepsilon v|^2} \\ A(e_4^\perp) &= \frac{-(y - \varepsilon v)_3 \mathbf{i} + (y - \varepsilon v)_2 \mathbf{j} + (y - \varepsilon v)_1 \mathbf{k}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda^2 + |y - \varepsilon v|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the basic instanton on $\mathbb{R}^4$ is $SU(2)$ -equivariant, this definition is independent of the choice of $SU(2)$ -frame $\{e_j^\perp : 1 \leq j \leq 4\}$. Furthermore, the

horizontal components of A are defined by

$$A(e'_i) = -\varepsilon \nabla'_i v_k A(e_k^\perp) - \lambda^{-1} \nabla_i \lambda (y - \varepsilon v)_k A(e_k^\perp)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

Lemma 3.1. *The curvature of A is given by*

$$F_A(e'_i, e'_j) = -\left(\varepsilon \nabla'_i v_k + \lambda^{-1} \nabla_i \lambda (y - \varepsilon v)_k\right) F_A(e_k^\perp, e_j^\perp)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_A(e'_i, e'_j) &= \left(\varepsilon \nabla'_i v_k + \lambda^{-1} \nabla_i \lambda (y - \varepsilon v)_k\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\varepsilon \nabla'_j v_l + \lambda^{-1} \nabla_j \lambda (y - \varepsilon v)_l\right) F_A(e_k^\perp, e_l^\perp) \\ &\quad + C_{ij} + A(C_{ij}(y - \varepsilon v)), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{ij} \in \Lambda_-^2 NS$ is the curvature of the connection ∇' .

If $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ is an orthonormal basis for the horizontal subspace with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ , then we obtain the following result:

Lemma 3.2. *The curvature of A satisfies*

$$F_A(e_i, e_j) = -\left(\varepsilon \nabla_i v_k + \lambda^{-1} \nabla_i \lambda (y - \varepsilon v)_k + \theta_{i,kl}(y - \varepsilon v)_l\right) F_A(e_k^\perp, e_j^\perp)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_A(e_i, e_j) &= \left(\varepsilon \nabla_i v_k + \lambda^{-1} \nabla_i \lambda (y - \varepsilon v)_k + \theta_{i,km}(y - \varepsilon v)_m\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\varepsilon \nabla_j v_l + \lambda^{-1} \nabla_j \lambda (y - \varepsilon v)_l + \theta_{j,ln}(y - \varepsilon v)_n\right) F_A(e_k^\perp, e_l^\perp) \\ &\quad + C_{ij} + A(C_{ij}(y - \varepsilon v)), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{ij} \in \Lambda_-^2 NS$ is the curvature of ∇' .

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that μ is constant and r is a section of the vector bundle $\Lambda_+^2 NS$ such that $\nabla' r = 0$. Let*

$$u = (\varepsilon^2 \lambda^2 + |y - \varepsilon v|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu (y - \varepsilon v)_k + r_{kl}(y - \varepsilon v)_l) e_k^\perp.$$

Then the covariant derivative of u satisfies the estimate

$$\|D_A u\|_{C_3^3(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

Hence, as we move away from the submanifold S , the connection A approaches a flat connection. Therefore, we can extend A trivially to M .

Our aim is to derive estimates for $F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)$ in $\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)$. To this end, we assume that the glueing data (v, λ, J, ω) satisfy the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\gamma}(M)(S)} &\leq K, \\ \|\lambda\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\gamma}(M)(S)} &\leq K, \quad \inf \lambda \geq 1, \\ \|(J, \omega)\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\gamma}(M)(S)} &\leq K \end{aligned}$$

for some $K > 0$. All implicate constants will depend on K .

Proposition 3.4. *If the set (v, λ, J, ω) is admissible, then we have the estimate*

$$\|F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

Proof. Let Ω_0 be a 4-form which defines an almost $Spin(7)$ -structure on M such that $\Omega(x) = \Omega_0(x)$ for all $x \in S$ and $\nabla_X \Omega(x) = 0$ for all $x \in S$ and $X \in NS_x$. Then we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F_A + *(\Omega_0 \wedge F_A)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \\ &\leq \|F_A(e_1, e_2) + F_A(e_3, e_4) - F_A(e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) - F_A(e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \\ &\quad + \|F_A(e_1, e_3) - F_A(e_2, e_4) - F_A(e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) + F_A(e_2^\perp, e_4^\perp)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \\ &\quad + \|F_A(e_1, e_4) + F_A(e_2, e_3) - F_A(e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) - F_A(e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \\ &\quad + \|F_A(e_1, e_1^\perp) + F_A(e_2, e_2^\perp) + F_A(e_3, e_3^\perp) + F_A(e_4, e_4^\perp)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \\ &\quad + \|F_A(e_1, e_2^\perp) - F_A(e_2, e_1^\perp) - F_A(e_3, e_4^\perp) + F_A(e_4, e_3^\perp)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \\ &\quad + \|F_A(e_1, e_3^\perp) + F_A(e_2, e_4^\perp) - F_A(e_3, e_1^\perp) - F_A(e_4, e_2^\perp)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \\ &\quad + \|F_A(e_1, e_4^\perp) - F_A(e_2, e_3^\perp) + F_A(e_3, e_2^\perp) - F_A(e_4, e_1^\perp)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identities

$$\begin{aligned} F_A(e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) + F_A(e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) &= 0 \\ F_A(e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) + F_A(e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) &= 0 \\ F_A(e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) + F_A(e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\|F_A + *(\Omega_0 \wedge F_A)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

Since $\Omega = \Omega_0 + O(|y|)$, we conclude that

$$\|F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \leq \|F_A + *(\Omega_0 \wedge F_A)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} + \|F_A\|_{\mathcal{C}_4^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

4 Estimates for the linearized operator in weighted Hölder spaces

Our aim in this section is to analyze the mapping properties of the linearized operator $\mathbb{L}_A : \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \Omega_+^2(M)$.

As in Section 2, we define two vector bundles $V \subset TS \otimes NS$ and $W \subset TS \otimes NS \otimes NS$ over the submanifold S . Both vector bundles have rank 4. The following elements form a basis for V :

$$\begin{aligned} & e_1 \otimes e_1^\perp + e_2 \otimes e_2^\perp + e_3 \otimes e_3^\perp + e_4 \otimes e_4^\perp, \\ & e_1 \otimes e_2^\perp - e_2 \otimes e_1^\perp - e_3 \otimes e_4^\perp + e_4 \otimes e_3^\perp, \\ & e_1 \otimes e_3^\perp + e_2 \otimes e_4^\perp - e_3 \otimes e_1^\perp - e_4 \otimes e_2^\perp, \\ & e_1 \otimes e_4^\perp - e_2 \otimes e_3^\perp + e_3 \otimes e_2^\perp - e_4 \otimes e_1^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the following elements form a basis for W :

$$\begin{aligned} & e_1 \otimes (e_1^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & + e_2 \otimes (e_2^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_3^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & + e_3 \otimes (e_3^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_4^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & + e_4 \otimes (e_4^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_2^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp), \\ \\ & e_1 \otimes (e_2^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_3^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & - e_2 \otimes (e_1^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & - e_3 \otimes (e_4^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_2^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & + e_4 \otimes (e_3^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_4^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp), \\ \\ & e_1 \otimes (e_3^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_4^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & + e_2 \otimes (e_4^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_2^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & - e_3 \otimes (e_1^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & - e_4 \otimes (e_2^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_3^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp), \\ \\ & e_1 \otimes (e_4^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_2^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & - e_2 \otimes (e_3^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_4^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & + e_3 \otimes (e_2^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp - e_1^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp - e_3^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp) \\ & - e_4 \otimes (e_1^\perp \otimes e_1^\perp + e_2^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp + e_3^\perp \otimes e_3^\perp + e_4^\perp \otimes e_4^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)$ is supported in the set $\{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, S) \leq 2\delta^4\}$ and satisfies*

$$\int_{NS_x} \sum_{i,j=1}^4 (\varepsilon s_{ik} + t_{ikl} (y - \varepsilon v)_l) \langle \psi(e_i, e_j^\perp), F_A(e_k^\perp, e_j^\perp) \rangle = 0$$

for all $x \in S$, $s \in V_x$, and $t \in W_x$. Then there exists a 1-form $a \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ which is supported in the region $\{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, S) \leq 2\delta^2\}$ such that

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{L}_A a - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, S) \leq 2\delta^4\})} \leq C \delta \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)},$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{L}_A a - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \leq C |\log \delta|^{-1} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.$$

Proof. Let $\{\zeta^{(j)} : 1 \leq j \leq j_0\}$ be a partition of unity on S such that each function $\zeta^{(j)}$ is supported in a ball $B_\delta(p_j)$, and

$$|\{1 \leq j \leq j_0 : x \in B_{4\delta}(p_j)\}| \leq C$$

for all $x \in S$ and some uniform constant C . For each $1 \leq j \leq j_0$, there exists a 1-form $a^{(j)} \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ which is supported in the region $\{(x, y) \in NS : x \in B_{2\delta}(p_j), |y| \leq 2\delta^2\}$ such that

$$\|a^{(j)}\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|\zeta^{(j)} \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{L}_A a^{(j)} - \zeta^{(j)} \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, S) \leq 2\delta^4\})} \leq C \delta \|\zeta^{(j)} \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)},$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{L}_A a^{(j)} - \zeta^{(j)} \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \leq C |\log \delta|^{-1} \|\zeta^{(j)} \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.$$

We now define

$$a = \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} a^{(j)}.$$

Then we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} &\leq C \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j_0} \|a^{(j)}\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \\ &\leq C \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j_0} \|\zeta^{(j)} \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \\ &\leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbb{L}_A a - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, S) \leq 2\delta^4\})} &\leq C \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j_0} \|\mathbb{L}_A a^{(j)} - \zeta^{(j)} \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, S) \leq 2\delta^4\})} \\
&\leq C \delta \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j_0} \|\zeta^{(j)} \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \\
&\leq C \delta \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbb{L}_A a - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} &\leq C \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j_0} \|\mathbb{L}_A a^{(j)} - \zeta^{(j)} \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \\
&\leq C |\log \delta|^{-1} \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j_0} \|\zeta^{(j)} \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \\
&\leq C |\log \delta|^{-1} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion.

Proposition 4.2. *For every Ω -self-dual 2-form $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)$, there exists a 1-form $a \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ such that*

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}$$

and

$$P_+ da = \psi.$$

Proof. We consider the elliptic operator $P_+ dd^* : \Omega_+^2(M) \rightarrow \Omega_+^2(M)$. Its kernel is given by

$$\ker(P_+ dd^* : \Omega_+^2(M) \rightarrow \Omega_+^2(M)) = H_+^2(M).$$

Since the cohomology group $H_+^2(M)$ vanishes, the operator $P_+ dd^* : \Omega_+^2(M) \rightarrow \Omega_+^2(M)$ is invertible. Consequently, there exists a Ω -self-dual 2-form φ such that

$$P_+ dd^* \varphi = \psi.$$

We claim that

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{1+\nu}^{2,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|P_+ dd^* \varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.$$

By Schauder estimates, it suffices to show that

$$\sup (\varepsilon + \text{dist}(p, S))^{1+\nu} |\varphi| \leq C \sup (\varepsilon + \text{dist}(p, S))^{3+\nu} |P_+ dd^* \varphi|.$$

If this estimate fails, then there exists a sequence of positive real numbers ε_j and a sequence of Ω -self-dual 2-forms $\varphi^{(j)} \in \mathcal{C}_{1+\nu}^{2,\gamma}(M)$ such that

$$\sup (\varepsilon_j + \text{dist}(p, S))^{1+\nu} |\varphi^{(j)}| = 1$$

and

$$\sup (\varepsilon_j + \text{dist}(p, S))^{3+\nu} |P_+ dd^* \varphi^{(j)}| \rightarrow 0.$$

Then there exists a sequence of points $p_j \in M$ such that

$$\sup (\varepsilon_j + \text{dist}(p_j, S))^{1+\nu} |\varphi^{(j)}(p_j)| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

There are two possibilities:

(i) Suppose that $\text{dist}(p_j, S)$ is bounded from below. After passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the sequence $\varphi^{(j)}$ converges to a Ω -self-dual 2-form $\varphi \in \Omega_+^2(M)$ such that

$$\sup \text{dist}(p, S)^{1+\nu} |\varphi| \leq 1$$

and

$$P_+ dd^* \varphi = 0.$$

From this it follows that φ is smooth. Since the operator $P_+ dd^* : \Omega_+^2(M) \rightarrow \Omega_+^2(M)$ has trivial kernel, it follows that $\varphi = 0$. This is a contradiction.

(ii) We now assume that $\text{dist}(p_j, S) \rightarrow 0$. After rescaling and taking the limit, we obtain a Ω -self-dual 2-form $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Omega_+^2(\mathbb{R}^8)$ such that

$$\sup |y|^{1+\nu} |\tilde{\varphi}| \leq 1$$

and

$$P_+ dd^* \tilde{\varphi} = 0.$$

Thus, we conclude that $\tilde{\varphi} = 0$. This is a contradiction.

This implies

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{1+\nu}^{2,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.$$

Letting $a = d^* \varphi$, the assertion follows.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)$ is supported in the region $\{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, S) \geq \delta^4\}$. Then there exists a 1-form $a \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ which is supported in the region $\{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, S) \geq \delta^8\}$ such that*

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{L}Aa - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \leq C \left(|\log \delta|^{-1} + \delta^{-16} \varepsilon^2 \right) \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, exists a 1-form a such that

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^{\gamma}(M)}$$

and

$$2 P_+ da = \psi.$$

Let η be a cut-off function such that $\eta(p) = 0$ for $\text{dist}(p, S) \leq \delta^8$, $\eta(p) = 1$ for $\text{dist}(p, S) \geq \delta^4$ and

$$\sup \text{dist}(p, S) |\nabla \eta| \leq C |\log \delta|^{-1}.$$

Then the 1-form ηa is supported in the region $\{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, S) \geq \delta^8\}$ and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{L}_A(\eta a) - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^{\gamma}(M)} \\ &= 2 \|P_+ D_A(\eta a) - \eta P_+ da\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^{\gamma}(M)} \\ &\leq 2 \|P_+ D_A(\eta a) - P_+ d(\eta a)\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^{\gamma}(M)} + 2 \|P_+ d(\eta a) - \eta P_+ da\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^{\gamma}(M)} \\ &\leq C \delta^{-16} \varepsilon^2 \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{\gamma}(M)} + C |\log \delta|^{-1} \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{\gamma}(M)} \\ &\leq C \delta^{-16} \varepsilon^2 \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^{\gamma}(M)} + C |\log \delta|^{-1} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^{\gamma}(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion.

In the following, we will choose $\delta = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{16}}$. Let κ be a cut-off function such that $\kappa(p) = 1$ for $\text{dist}(p, S) \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\kappa(p) = 0$ for $\text{dist}(p, S) \geq 2\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_{\nu}^{k,\gamma}(M)$ be the set of all $\psi \in \Omega_+^2(M)$ such that $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{\nu}^{k,\gamma}(M)$ and

$$\int_{NS_x} \kappa \sum_{i,j=1}^4 (\varepsilon s_{ik} + t_{ikl} (y - \varepsilon v)_l) \langle \psi(e_i, e_j^{\perp}), F_A(e_k^{\perp}, e_j^{\perp}) \rangle = 0$$

for all $x \in S$, $s \in V_x$, and $t \in W_x$.

We denote by $\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}$ the fibrewise projection from $\mathcal{C}_{\nu}^{\gamma}(M)$ to the subspace $\mathcal{G}_{\nu}^{\gamma}(M)$. Hence, if ψ is an Ω -self-dual 2-form, then the projection $\mathbb{P}\psi$ is of the form

$$\mathbb{P}\psi(e_i, e_j^{\perp}) = \kappa (\varepsilon s_{ik} + t_{ikl} (y - \varepsilon v)_l) F_A(e_k^{\perp}, e_j^{\perp})$$

for suitable $s \in V$ and $t \in W$. Let Π be the linear operator which assigns to every Ω -self-dual 2-form ψ the pair

$$\Pi\psi = (s, t) \in V \oplus W.$$

We shall need the following estimate for the operator norm of the projection operator $\mathbb{P}$.

Proposition 4.4. *For every Ω -self-dual 2-form $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)$, we have the estimates*

$$\|\Pi\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma(S)} \leq C \varepsilon^{-2-\nu-\gamma} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{P}\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^{-\nu-\gamma} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.$$

Proof. This follows from [5], Proposition 5.4.

Proposition 4.5. *For every $\psi \in \mathcal{G}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)$ there exists a 1-form $a \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ such that*

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{L}_A a - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, S) \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\})} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{16}} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)},$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{L}_A a - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.$$

Proof. Apply Proposition 4.1 to $\kappa\psi$ and Proposition 4.3 to $(1 - \kappa)\psi$.

Proposition 4.6. *For every $\psi \in \mathcal{G}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)$ there exists a 1-form $a \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ such that*

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}$$

and

$$(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) \mathbb{L}_A a = \psi.$$

Furthermore, a satisfies the estimate

$$\|\Pi \mathbb{L}_A a\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma(S)} \leq C \varepsilon^{-2+\frac{1}{32}} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.5, there exists an operator $\mathbb{S} : \mathcal{G}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{S}\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{L}_A \mathbb{S}\psi - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(\{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, S) \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\})} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{16}} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)},$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{L}_A \mathbb{S} \psi - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.$$

This implies

$$\|\Pi \mathbb{L}_A \mathbb{S} \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma(S)} = \|\Pi(\mathbb{L}_A \mathbb{S} \psi - \psi)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma(S)} \leq C \varepsilon^{-2+\frac{1}{16}-\nu-\gamma} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.$$

From this it follows that

$$\|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) \mathbb{L}_A \mathbb{S} \psi - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}.$$

Therefore, the operator $(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) \mathbb{L}_A \mathbb{S} : \mathcal{G}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)$ is invertible. Hence, if we define

$$a = \mathbb{S} [(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) \mathbb{L}_A \mathbb{S}]^{-1} \psi,$$

then a satisfies

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}$$

and

$$(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) \mathbb{L}_A a = \psi.$$

This proves the assertion.

5 The nonlinear problem

Proposition 5.1. *For every approximate solution A , there exists a nearby connection $\tilde{A} = A + a$ such that*

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^{2-\nu-\gamma}$$

and

$$(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) (F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}})) = 0.$$

Furthermore, a satisfies the estimate

$$\|\Pi \mathbb{L}_A a\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma(S)} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{32}}.$$

Proof. The connection $\tilde{A} = A + a$ satisfies

$$F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}}) = F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A) + D_A a + *(\Omega \wedge D_A a) + [a, a] + *(\Omega \wedge [a, a]).$$

This implies

$$F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}}) = F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A) + 2\mathbb{L}_A a + [a, a] + *(\Omega \wedge [a, a]).$$

According to Proposition 4.6, there exists an operator $\mathbb{G} : \mathcal{G}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{G}\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)}$$

and

$$(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) \mathbb{L}_A \mathbb{G} = \mathbb{I}.$$

We now define a mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ by

$$\Phi(a) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{G} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) (F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{G} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) ([a, a] + *(\Omega \wedge [a, a])).$$

Then we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(a)\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} &\leq C \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) (F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A))\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \\ &\quad + C \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) ([a, a] + *(\Omega \wedge [a, a]))\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{-\nu-\gamma} \|F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \\ &\quad + C \varepsilon^{-\nu-\gamma} \|[a, a]\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{-\nu-\gamma} \|F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \\ &\quad + C \varepsilon^{-1-2\nu-\gamma} \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)}^2 \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{2-\nu-\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ satisfying

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{7}{4}}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(a) - \Phi(a')\|_{\mathcal{C}_{1+\nu}^{2,\gamma}(M)} &\leq C \varepsilon^{-\nu-\gamma} \|[a, a] - [a', a']\|_{\mathcal{C}_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{4}-2\nu-\gamma} \|a - a'\|_{\mathcal{C}_{1+\nu}^{2,\gamma}(M)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, a' \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ satisfying

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)}, \|a'\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{7}{4}}.$$

Hence, it follows from the contraction mapping principle that there exists a 1-form $a \in \mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)$ such that

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^{2-\nu-\gamma}$$

and

$$\Phi(a) = a.$$

From this it follows that

$$\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})(F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)) + 2a + \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})([a, a] + *(\Omega \wedge [a, a])) = 0,$$

hence

$$(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})(F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)) + 2(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})\mathbb{L}_A a + (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})([a, a] + *(\Omega \wedge [a, a])) = 0.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})(F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}})) = 0.$$

This proves the assertion.

Corollary 5.2. *If $\tilde{A}$ satisfies*

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}})) = 0,$$

then $\tilde{A}$ is an Ω -anti-self-dual instanton, i.e.

$$F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}}) = 0.$$

6 The balancing condition

By Corollary 5.2, the problem is reduced to finding a set of glueing data (v, λ, J, ω) such that

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}})) = 0.$$

Our aim in this section is to derive a formula for the error term

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}})).$$

Proposition 6.1. *The curvature of A satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(F_A + *(\Omega_0 \wedge F_A)) &= 4 \left(\text{proj}_V \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^4 \nabla_i v_k e_i \otimes e_k^\perp \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{proj}_W \left(\sum_{i,k,l=1}^4 (\lambda^{-1} \nabla_i \lambda \delta_{kl} + \theta_{i,kl}) e_i \otimes e_k^\perp \otimes e_l^\perp \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a consequence of the identity

$$F_A(e_i, e_j^\perp) = -\left(\varepsilon \nabla_i v_k + \lambda^{-1} \nabla_i \lambda (y - \varepsilon v)_k + \theta_{i,kl} (y - \varepsilon v)_l\right) F_A(e_k^\perp, e_j^\perp).$$

The covariant derivative of Ω can be described by a 1-form α with values in $\Lambda_+^2 TM$. For every vector field $X \in TM$, we write

$$\nabla_X \Omega = \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(X) \wedge i_{e_k} \Omega,$$

where $\alpha(X) \in \Lambda_+^2 TM$. From this it follows that

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 + \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} \Omega_0 + O(|y|^2),$$

where $\alpha(y) \in \Lambda_+^2 TM$.

Proposition 6.2. *The curvature of A satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Pi(F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)) \right. \\ & - 4 \left(\text{proj}_V \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^4 (\nabla_i v_k + \alpha_{ik,l} v_l) e_i \otimes e_k^\perp \right), \right. \\ & \left. \left. \text{proj}_W \left(\sum_{i,k,l=1}^4 (\lambda^{-1} \nabla_i \lambda \delta_{kl} + \theta_{i,kl} + \alpha_{ik,l}) e_i \otimes e_k^\perp \otimes e_l^\perp \right) \right) \right\|_{C^\gamma(S)} \leq C \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the identity

$$\Omega - \Omega_0 - \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} \Omega_0 = O(|y|^2),$$

we obtain

$$\left\| \Omega \wedge F_A - \Omega_0 \wedge F_A - \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} \Omega_0 \wedge F_A \right\|_{C_2^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Omega \wedge F_A - \Omega_0 \wedge F_A + \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} (\Omega_0 \wedge F_A) \right. \\ & \left. - \Omega_0 \wedge \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} F_A \right\|_{C_2^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\left\| *(\Omega \wedge F_A) - *(\Omega_0 \wedge F_A) + \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} *(\Omega_0 \wedge F_A) - * \left(\Omega_0 \wedge \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} F_A \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_2^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left\| (F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)) - (F_A + *(\Omega_0 \wedge F_A)) + \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} (F_A + *(\Omega_0 \wedge F_A)) - \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} F_A - * \left(\Omega_0 \wedge \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} F_A \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_2^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

According to Proposition 3.4, we have

$$\|F_A + *(\Omega_0 \wedge F_A)\|_{\mathcal{C}_3^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2,$$

hence

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} (F_A + *(\Omega_0 \wedge F_A)) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_2^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

Moreover, we have

$$3 \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} F_A - * \left(\Omega_0 \wedge \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} F_A \right) = 0.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\left\| (F_A + *(\Omega \wedge F_A)) - (F_A + *(\Omega_0 \wedge F_A)) - 4 \sum_{k=1}^8 i_{e_k} \alpha(y) \wedge i_{e_k} F_A \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_2^\gamma(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

The assertion follows now from Proposition 6.1.

Proposition 6.3. *The curvature of $\tilde{A}$ satisfies*

$$\left\| \Pi(F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}})) - 4 \left(\text{proj}_V \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^4 (\nabla_i v_k + \alpha_{ik,l} v_l) e_i \otimes e_k^\perp \right), \text{proj}_W \left(\sum_{i,k,l=1}^4 (\lambda^{-1} \nabla_i \lambda \delta_{kl} + \theta_{i,kl} + \alpha_{ik,l}) e_i \otimes e_k^\perp \otimes e_l^\perp \right) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma(S)} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{32}}.$$

Proof. Using the estimate

$$\|a\|_{C_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C \varepsilon^{2-\nu-\gamma},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi([a, a] + *(\Omega \wedge [a, a]))\|_{C^\gamma(S)} &\leq C \varepsilon^{-2-\nu-\gamma} \|[a, a] + *(\Omega \wedge [a, a])\|_{C_{3+\nu}^\gamma(M)} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{-3-2\nu-\gamma} \|a\|_{C_{2+\nu}^{1,\gamma}(M)}^2 \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{1-4\nu-3\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|\Pi \mathbb{L}_A a\|_{C^\gamma(S)} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{32}}.$$

Hence, the assertion follows from Proposition 6.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let

$$\Xi_\varepsilon(v, \lambda, J, \omega) = \Pi(F_{\tilde{A}} + *(\Omega \wedge F_{\tilde{A}})).$$

The first part of Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 5.2, the second part from Proposition 6.3.

7 Discussion

In this final section, we show how the first order balancing condition derived in this paper is related to the second order balancing condition in [5]. To this end, we assume that Ω is parallel. Then the Riemann curvature tensor of M belongs to $\Lambda^2 TM \otimes \Lambda^2 TM$. Since S is a Cayley submanifold, the second fundamental form of S satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_k, e_1, e_1^\perp) + h(e_k, e_2, e_2^\perp) + h(e_k, e_3, e_3^\perp) + h(e_k, e_4, e_4^\perp) &= 0 \\ h(e_k, e_1, e_2^\perp) - h(e_k, e_2, e_1^\perp) - h(e_k, e_3, e_4^\perp) + h(e_k, e_4, e_3^\perp) &= 0 \\ h(e_k, e_1, e_3^\perp) + h(e_k, e_2, e_4^\perp) - h(e_k, e_3, e_1^\perp) - h(e_k, e_4, e_2^\perp) &= 0 \\ h(e_k, e_1, e_4^\perp) - h(e_k, e_2, e_3^\perp) + h(e_k, e_3, e_2^\perp) - h(e_k, e_4, e_1^\perp) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the curvature of the normal bundle NS by E . Using the Gauss equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(e_i, e_j, e_k^\perp, e_l^\perp) &= R(e_i, e_j, e_k^\perp, e_l^\perp) \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=1}^4 h(e_m, e_i, e_k^\perp) h(e_m, e_j, e_l^\perp) + h(e_m, e_i, e_l^\perp) h(e_m, e_j, e_k^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla\Omega = 0$, the first part of the balancing condition becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_1 v_1 + \nabla_2 v_2 + \nabla_3 v_3 + \nabla_4 v_4 &= 0 \\ \nabla_1 v_2 - \nabla_2 v_1 - \nabla_3 v_4 + \nabla_4 v_3 &= 0 \\ \nabla_1 v_3 + \nabla_2 v_4 - \nabla_3 v_1 - \nabla_4 v_2 &= 0 \\ \nabla_1 v_4 - \nabla_2 v_3 + \nabla_3 v_2 - \nabla_4 v_1 &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \Delta v_1 \\ &+ \nabla_1 \nabla_2 v_2 - \nabla_2 \nabla_1 v_2 + \nabla_3 \nabla_4 v_2 - \nabla_4 \nabla_3 v_2 \\ &+ \nabla_1 \nabla_3 v_3 - \nabla_3 \nabla_1 v_3 + \nabla_4 \nabla_2 v_3 - \nabla_2 \nabla_4 v_3 \\ &+ \nabla_1 \nabla_4 v_4 - \nabla_4 \nabla_1 v_4 + \nabla_2 \nabla_3 v_4 - \nabla_3 \nabla_2 v_4\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \Delta v_2 \\ &- \nabla_1 \nabla_2 v_1 + \nabla_2 \nabla_1 v_1 - \nabla_3 \nabla_4 v_1 + \nabla_4 \nabla_3 v_1 \\ &- \nabla_1 \nabla_3 v_4 + \nabla_3 \nabla_1 v_4 - \nabla_4 \nabla_2 v_4 + \nabla_2 \nabla_4 v_4 \\ &+ \nabla_1 \nabla_4 v_3 - \nabla_4 \nabla_1 v_3 + \nabla_2 \nabla_3 v_3 - \nabla_3 \nabla_2 v_3\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \Delta v_3 \\ &+ \nabla_1 \nabla_2 v_4 - \nabla_2 \nabla_1 v_4 + \nabla_3 \nabla_4 v_4 - \nabla_4 \nabla_3 v_4 \\ &- \nabla_1 \nabla_3 v_1 + \nabla_3 \nabla_1 v_1 - \nabla_4 \nabla_2 v_1 + \nabla_2 \nabla_4 v_1 \\ &- \nabla_1 \nabla_4 v_2 + \nabla_4 \nabla_1 v_2 - \nabla_2 \nabla_3 v_2 + \nabla_3 \nabla_2 v_2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \Delta v_4 \\ &- \nabla_1 \nabla_2 v_3 + \nabla_2 \nabla_1 v_3 - \nabla_3 \nabla_4 v_3 + \nabla_4 \nabla_3 v_3 \\ &+ \nabla_1 \nabla_3 v_2 - \nabla_3 \nabla_1 v_2 + \nabla_4 \nabla_2 v_2 - \nabla_2 \nabla_4 v_2 \\ &- \nabla_1 \nabla_4 v_1 + \nabla_4 \nabla_1 v_1 - \nabla_2 \nabla_3 v_1 + \nabla_3 \nabla_2 v_1.\end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \Delta v_1 \\ &+ (E(e_1, e_2, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_3, e_4, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_1, e_3, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_4, e_2, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) \\ &+ E(e_1, e_4, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_2, e_3, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp)) v_1 \\ &+ (E(e_1, e_3, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_4, e_2, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_1, e_4, e_2^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_2, e_3, e_2^\perp, e_4^\perp)) v_2 \\ &+ (E(e_1, e_2, e_3^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_3, e_4, e_3^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_1, e_4, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_2, e_3, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp)) v_3 \\ &+ (E(e_1, e_2, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_3, e_4, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_1, e_3, e_4^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_4, e_2, e_4^\perp, e_3^\perp)) v_4\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \Delta v_2 \\
&+ \left(E(e_3, e_1, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_2, e_4, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_1, e_4, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_2, e_3, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) \right) v_1 \\
&+ \left(E(e_2, e_1, e_2^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_4, e_3, e_2^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_3, e_1, e_2^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_2, e_4, e_2^\perp, e_4^\perp) \right. \\
&+ \left. E(e_1, e_4, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_2, e_3, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) \right) v_2 \\
&+ \left(E(e_2, e_1, e_3^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_4, e_3, e_3^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_3, e_1, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_2, e_4, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) \right) v_3 \\
&+ \left(E(e_2, e_1, e_4^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_4, e_3, e_4^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_1, e_4, e_4^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_2, e_3, e_4^\perp, e_3^\perp) \right) v_4
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \Delta v_3 \\
&+ \left(E(e_1, e_2, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_3, e_4, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_4, e_1, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_3, e_2, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) \right) v_1 \\
&+ \left(E(e_1, e_2, e_2^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_3, e_4, e_2^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_3, e_1, e_2^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_2, e_4, e_2^\perp, e_1^\perp) \right) v_2 \\
&+ \left(E(e_1, e_2, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_3, e_4, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_3, e_1, e_3^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_2, e_4, e_3^\perp, e_1^\perp) \right. \\
&+ \left. E(e_4, e_1, e_3^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_3, e_2, e_3^\perp, e_2^\perp) \right) v_3 \\
&+ \left(E(e_3, e_1, e_4^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_2, e_4, e_4^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_4, e_1, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_3, e_2, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) \right) v_4
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \Delta v_4 \\
&+ \left(E(e_2, e_1, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_4, e_3, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_1, e_3, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_4, e_2, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) \right) v_1 \\
&+ \left(E(e_2, e_1, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_4, e_3, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_4, e_1, e_2^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_3, e_2, e_2^\perp, e_1^\perp) \right) v_2 \\
&+ \left(E(e_1, e_3, e_3^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_4, e_2, e_3^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_4, e_1, e_3^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_3, e_2, e_3^\perp, e_1^\perp) \right) v_3 \\
&+ \left(E(e_2, e_1, e_4^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_4, e_3, e_4^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_1, e_3, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_4, e_2, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) \right. \\
&+ \left. E(e_4, e_1, e_4^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_3, e_2, e_4^\perp, e_1^\perp) \right) v_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$0 = \Delta v_1 + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 h(e_i, e_j, e_1^\perp) h(e_i, e_j, e_k^\perp) v_k + \sum_{i,k=1}^4 R(e_i, e_1^\perp, e_k^\perp, e_i) v_k$$

$$0 = \Delta v_2 + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 h(e_i, e_j, e_2^\perp) h(e_i, e_j, e_k^\perp) v_k + \sum_{i,k=1}^4 R(e_i, e_2^\perp, e_k^\perp, e_i) v_k$$

$$0 = \Delta v_3 + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 h(e_i, e_j, e_3^\perp) h(e_i, e_j, e_k^\perp) v_k + \sum_{i,k=1}^4 R(e_i, e_3^\perp, e_k^\perp, e_i) v_k$$

$$0 = \Delta v_4 + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 h(e_i, e_j, e_4^\perp) h(e_i, e_j, e_k^\perp) v_k + \sum_{i,k=1}^4 R(e_i, e_4^\perp, e_k^\perp, e_i) v_k.$$

Furthermore, the second part of the balancing condition can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
2\lambda^{-1} \nabla_1 \lambda + (\theta_{2,21} + \theta_{2,43}) + (\theta_{3,31} + \theta_{3,24}) + (\theta_{4,41} + \theta_{4,32}) &= 0 \\
(\theta_{1,21} + \theta_{1,43}) - 2\lambda^{-1} \nabla_2 \lambda - (\theta_{3,41} + \theta_{3,32}) + (\theta_{4,31} + \theta_{4,24}) &= 0 \\
(\theta_{1,31} + \theta_{1,24}) + (\theta_{2,41} + \theta_{2,32}) - 2\lambda^{-1} \nabla_3 \lambda - (\theta_{4,21} + \theta_{4,43}) &= 0 \\
(\theta_{1,41} + \theta_{1,32}) - (\theta_{2,31} + \theta_{2,24}) + (\theta_{3,21} + \theta_{3,43}) - 2\lambda^{-1} \nabla_4 \lambda &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= 2\lambda^{-1} \Delta \lambda - 2\lambda^{-2} |\nabla \lambda|^2 \\
&+ \nabla_1 \theta_{2,21} - \nabla_2 \theta_{1,21} + \nabla_1 \theta_{2,43} - \nabla_2 \theta_{1,43} \\
&+ \nabla_3 \theta_{4,21} - \nabla_4 \theta_{3,21} + \nabla_3 \theta_{4,43} - \nabla_4 \theta_{3,43} \\
&+ \nabla_1 \theta_{3,31} - \nabla_3 \theta_{1,31} + \nabla_1 \theta_{3,24} - \nabla_3 \theta_{1,24} \\
&+ \nabla_4 \theta_{2,31} - \nabla_2 \theta_{4,31} + \nabla_4 \theta_{2,24} - \nabla_2 \theta_{4,24} \\
&+ \nabla_1 \theta_{4,41} - \nabla_4 \theta_{1,41} + \nabla_1 \theta_{4,32} - \nabla_4 \theta_{1,32} \\
&+ \nabla_2 \theta_{3,41} - \nabla_3 \theta_{2,41} + \nabla_2 \theta_{3,32} - \nabla_3 \theta_{2,32},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= 2\lambda^{-1} \Delta \lambda - \frac{1}{2} |\theta|^2 \\
&+ \nabla_1 \theta_{2,21} - \nabla_2 \theta_{1,21} + [\theta_1, \theta_2]_{21} + \nabla_1 \theta_{2,43} - \nabla_2 \theta_{1,43} + [\theta_1, \theta_2]_{43} \\
&+ \nabla_3 \theta_{4,21} - \nabla_4 \theta_{3,21} + [\theta_3, \theta_4]_{21} + \nabla_3 \theta_{4,43} - \nabla_4 \theta_{3,43} + [\theta_3, \theta_4]_{43} \\
&+ \nabla_1 \theta_{3,31} - \nabla_3 \theta_{1,31} + [\theta_1, \theta_3]_{31} + \nabla_1 \theta_{3,24} - \nabla_3 \theta_{1,24} + [\theta_1, \theta_3]_{24} \\
&+ \nabla_4 \theta_{2,31} - \nabla_2 \theta_{4,31} + [\theta_4, \theta_2]_{31} + \nabla_4 \theta_{2,24} - \nabla_2 \theta_{4,24} + [\theta_4, \theta_2]_{24} \\
&+ \nabla_1 \theta_{4,41} - \nabla_4 \theta_{1,41} + [\theta_1, \theta_4]_{41} + \nabla_1 \theta_{4,32} - \nabla_4 \theta_{1,32} + [\theta_1, \theta_4]_{32} \\
&+ \nabla_2 \theta_{3,41} - \nabla_3 \theta_{2,41} + [\theta_2, \theta_3]_{41} + \nabla_2 \theta_{3,32} - \nabla_3 \theta_{2,32} + [\theta_2, \theta_3]_{32}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= 2\lambda^{-1} \Delta \lambda - \frac{1}{2} |\theta|^2 \\
&+ E(e_1, e_2, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_1, e_2, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_3, e_4, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_3, e_4, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) \\
&+ E(e_1, e_3, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_1, e_3, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_4, e_2, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_4, e_2, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) \\
&+ E(e_1, e_4, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_1, e_4, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_2, e_3, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_2, e_3, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(e_1, e_2, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_3, e_4, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_1, e_3, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_4, e_2, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) \\
&+ E(e_1, e_4, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_2, e_3, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^4 h(e_i, e_j, e_1^\perp) h(e_i, e_j, e_1^\perp) + \sum_{i=1}^4 R(e_i, e_1^\perp, e_1^\perp, e_i)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(e_2, e_1, e_2^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_4, e_3, e_2^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_3, e_1, e_2^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_2, e_4, e_2^\perp, e_4^\perp) \\
& + E(e_1, e_4, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_2, e_3, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^4 h(e_i, e_j, e_2^\perp) h(e_i, e_j, e_2^\perp) + \sum_{i=1}^4 R(e_i, e_2^\perp, e_2^\perp, e_i)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(e_1, e_2, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_3, e_4, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) + E(e_3, e_1, e_3^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_2, e_4, e_3^\perp, e_1^\perp) \\
& + E(e_4, e_1, e_3^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_3, e_2, e_3^\perp, e_2^\perp) \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^4 h(e_i, e_j, e_3^\perp) h(e_i, e_j, e_3^\perp) + \sum_{i=1}^4 R(e_i, e_3^\perp, e_3^\perp, e_i)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(e_2, e_1, e_4^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_4, e_3, e_4^\perp, e_3^\perp) + E(e_1, e_3, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) + E(e_4, e_2, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) \\
& + E(e_4, e_1, e_4^\perp, e_1^\perp) + E(e_3, e_2, e_4^\perp, e_1^\perp) \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^4 h(e_i, e_j, e_4^\perp) h(e_i, e_j, e_4^\perp) + \sum_{i=1}^4 R(e_i, e_4^\perp, e_4^\perp, e_i),
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2E(e_1, e_2, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) + 2E(e_1, e_2, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) + 2E(e_3, e_4, e_1^\perp, e_2^\perp) + 2E(e_3, e_4, e_3^\perp, e_4^\perp) \\
& + 2E(e_1, e_3, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) + 2E(e_1, e_3, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) + 2E(e_4, e_2, e_1^\perp, e_3^\perp) + 2E(e_4, e_2, e_4^\perp, e_2^\perp) \\
& + 2E(e_1, e_4, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) + 2E(e_1, e_4, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) + 2E(e_2, e_3, e_1^\perp, e_4^\perp) + 2E(e_2, e_3, e_2^\perp, e_3^\perp) \\
& = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 h(e_i, e_j, e_k^\perp) h(e_i, e_j, e_k^\perp) + \sum_{i,k=1}^4 R(e_i, e_k^\perp, e_k^\perp, e_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$0 = 4\lambda^{-1} \Delta\lambda - |\theta|^2 + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 h(e_i, e_j, e_k^\perp) h(e_i, e_j, e_k^\perp) + \sum_{i,k=1}^4 R(e_i, e_k^\perp, e_k^\perp, e_i).$$

A similar calculation gives

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^4 (\nabla_i \theta_i + 2\lambda^{-1} \nabla_i \lambda \theta_i).$$

Thus, the first order balancing condition implies the second order balancing condition derived in [5].

References

- [1] A. Bahri, *An invariant for Yamabe-type flows with applications to scalar curvature problems in high dimension*, Duke Math. J. 81, 323-466 (1996)
- [2] A. Bahri and J.M. Coron, *On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: The effect of the topology of the domain*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41, 253-290 (1988)
- [3] L. Baulieu, H. Kanno, and I. M. Singer, *Special quantum field theories in eight and other dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. 194, 149-175 (1998)
- [4] K. Becker, M. Becker, D. Morrison, H. Ooguri, Y. Oz, and Z. Yin, *Supersymmetric cycles in exceptional holonomy manifolds and Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, Nucl. Phys. B 480, 225-238 (1996)
- [5] S. Brendle, *On the construction of solutions to the Yang-Mills equations in higher dimensions*, preprint (2002)
- [6] J. Chen, *Complex anti-self-dual connections on a product of Calabi-Yau surfaces and triholomorphic curves*, Comm. Math. Phys. 201, 217-247 (1999)
- [7] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer, *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Oxford University Press (1990)
- [8] S. K. Donaldson and R. P. Thomas, *Gauge theory in higher dimensions*, The geometric universe (Oxford 1996), 31-47, Oxford University Press (1998)
- [9] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *Calibrated Geometries*, Acta Math. 148, 47-157 (1982)
- [10] N. Hitchin, *Lectures on special Lagrangian submanifolds*, Winter School on Mirror Symmetry, Vector Bundles and Lagrangian Submanifolds (Cambridge, MA, 1999), 151-182, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. 23, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2001
- [11] D. Joyce, *Compact 8-manifolds with holonomy $Spin(7)$* , Invent. Math. 123, 507-552 (1996)
- [12] N. Kapouleas, *Complete constant mean curvature surfaces in Euclidean three-space*, Ann. of Math. 131, 239-330 (1990)
- [13] N. Kapouleas, *Compact constant mean curvature surfaces in Euclidean three-space*, J. Diff. Geom. 33, 683-715 (1991)

- [14] R. Kusner, R. Mazzeo, and D. Pollack, *The moduli space of complete embedded constant mean curvature surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 6, 120-137 (1996)
- [15] F.-H. Lin, *Complex Ginzburg-Landau equations and dynamics of vortices, filaments, and codimension-2 submanifolds*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 51, 385-441 (1998)
- [16] F.-H. Lin and T. Rivière, *Complex Ginzburg-Landau equations in high dimensions and codimension two area minimizing currents*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 1, 237-311 (1999)
- [17] R. Mazzeo and F. Pacard, *A construction of singular solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation using asymptotic analysis*, J. Diff. Geom. 44, 331-370 (1996)
- [18] R. Mazzeo and F. Pacard, *Constant mean curvature surfaces with De-launay ends*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 9, 169-237 (2001)
- [19] R. Mazzeo, F. Pacard and D. Pollack, *Connected sums of constant mean curvature surfaces in Euclidean 3-space*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 536, 115-165 (2001)
- [20] R. Mazzeo and N. Smale, *Conformally flat metrics of constant positive scalar curvature on subdomains of the sphere*, J. Diff. Geom. 34, 581-621 (1991)
- [21] F. Pacard and M. Ritoré, *From constant mean curvature hypersurfaces to the gradient theory of phase transitions*, preprint (2003)
- [22] F. Pacard and T. Rivière, *Linear and Nonlinear Aspects of Vortices. The Ginzburg-Landau Model*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, vol. 39, Birkhäuser, Boston (2000)
- [23] C. H. Taubes, *Self-dual Yang-Mills connections on non-self-dual 4-manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. 17, 139-170 (1982)
- [24] C. H. Taubes, *$SW \implies Gr$: from the Seiberg-Witten equations to pseudo-holomorphic curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9, 845-918 (1996)
- [25] C. H. Taubes, *$Gr \implies SW$: from pseudo-holomorphic curves to Seiberg-Witten solutions*, J. Diff. Geom. 51, 203-334 (1999)
- [26] R. P. Thomas, *A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi-Yau 3-folds and bundles on $K3$ fibrations*, J. Diff. Geom. 54, 367-438 (2000)
- [27] G. Tian, *Gauge theory and calibrated geometry*, Ann. of Math. 151, 193-268 (2000)